

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/630,473 07/29/2003 Mark E. Deem 38349-0103H 9190 20985 EXAMINER 11/30/2004 FISH & RICHARDSON, PC LEWIS, AARON J 12390 EL CAMINO REAL PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081 3743

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/630,473	DEEM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	AARON J. LEWIS	3743
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09/07/2004 (AMENDMENT)</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,9 and 10</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	'9	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1,5,9,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alferness et al. ('951).

As to claim 1, Alferness et al. disclose a method for lung volume reduction (see abstract and col.2, lines 28-30), said method comprising: deploying an obstructive device (70) in a lung passageway to a lung tissue segment (col.4, lines 13-25); and aspirating the segment through the deployed obstructive device to at least partially collapse the lung segment (col.5, lines 18-20 and lines 26-30).

As to claim 5, Alferness et al. disclose a system for obstructing a lung passageway to a lung tissue segment, said system comprising: an access catheter (70) having a

Art Unit: 3743

proximal end, a distal end (figs.2,3), and at least one lumen (82) extending therethrough, and an obstruction device (74) deployable within the lung passageway having an inlet port adapted for aspirating (col.5, lines 18-20 and lines 26-30) the lung tissue segment through the inlet port, wherein the obstruction device is introduceable by the access catheter (col.6, lines 56-65 and col.4, lines 13-25).

As to claim 9, Alferness et al. disclose a method of lung volume reduction, said method comprising: releasing an obstructive device (74) in a lung passageway (50) to a lung tissue segment; and aspirating (col.5, lines 18-20 and lines 26-30; col.6, lines 56-65 and col.4, lines 13-25) the segment through the released obstructive device to at least partially collapse the lung segment.

As to claim 10, Alferness et al. disclose a method for lung volume reduction, said method comprising: deploying an obstructive device (74) comprising a valve (90) in a lung passageway to a lung tissue segment; and aspirating (col.5, lines 18-20 and lines 26-30; col.6, lines 56-65 and col.4, lines 13-25) the segment through the deployed obstructive device to at least partially collapse the lung segment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alferness et al. ('951) in view of Daniel et al. ('455).

Art Unit: 3743

The difference between Alferness et al. and claim 6 is the incorporation of the obstruction device into a kit that also includes instructions for use according to a method of lung volume reduction.

Daniel et al. teach the incorporation of an obstruction device (e.g. endoscope) into a kit that also includes instructions for use (col.5, lines 30-38) for the purpose of providing medical personnel with both the equipment and instructions for performing surgical procedures within a patient's thoracic cavity using an endoscope.

It would have been obvious to modify the obstruction device of Alferness et al. to incorporate it into a kit and include instructions for performing surgical procedures because it would have provided medical personnel with both the equipment and instructions for performing surgical procedures within a patient's chest cavity as taught by Daniel et al..

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 09/07/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments regarding claim 1 are disagreed with because the act of intubating a patient's lung passageway with catheter (70) and placing an obstructive device (74) within a diseased segment constitutes deployment of the obstructive device within a patient's lung segment.

Applicant's arguments regarding the obstructive device (90) of Alferness et al. as applied to claim 5 are persuasvive; however, the rejection has been corrected to point out the obstructive device (74) of Alferness et al. as the obstructive device.

Art Unit: 3743

Applicant's arguments regarding claim 6 are based upon the contention that obstructive device (74) is not deployable; however, the act of placing obstructive device within a lung segment constitutes deployment therein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AARON J. LEWIS whose telephone number is (571) 272-4795. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-6:00PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, HENRY A. BENNETT can be reached on (571) 272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AARON J. LEWIS Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743

Aaron J. Lewis November 28, 2004